RESEARCH ARTICLE | AUGUST 02 2024

A review on structural analysis and mechanical behaviour of nanomaterials

Rathinam Ramasamy; Hemlata Vikrant Ganvir; Mohammad Mobarak Hossain; Vikrant Yashwant Ganvir; Kongkon Bordoloi; Harishkumar Muddisetty

AIP Conf. Proc. 2937, 020038 (2024)
https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0218221

A Review on Structural Analysis and Mechanical Behaviour of Nanomaterials

Rathinam Ramasamy[1,a)], Hemlata Vikrant Ganvir[2], Mohammad Mobarak Hossain[3], Vikrant Yashwant Ganvir[2,b)], Kongkon Bordoloi[4], Harishkumar Muddisetty[5]

[1]Department of Chemistry, Sri Eshwar College of Engineering , Coimbatore -641202, Tamilnadu, India.
[2]Department of Applied Physics, Yeshwantrao Chavan College of Engineering, Nagpur 441110, Maharashtra, India.
[3]M.Sc in Textile Engineering, Bangladesh University of Textiles, Tejgaon, Dhaka/ M.Sc in Environmental Science, Jahangimagar University, Dhaka, Bangladesh
[4]M.Sc in Applied Physics, Brahmaputra College, Ulubari, Guwahati 781007, Assam, India.
[5]M.Sc Engineering in Mechanical Manufacture,Wrexham Glyndwr University, Mold Road, Wrexham, United Kingdom-LL 11 2AW.

[a)] Corresponding author: rathinam.r@sece.ac.in
[b)] vyganvir@gmail.com

Abstract. The positive impact of nanoparticles on the behaviour of composite microstructures has been studied. These studies include the material characteristic features, the production methods, suitability with the other phases, surface area, the scattering process, rigidity, and other topics. The assessment of the selection of nanomaterials for a particular tender and their impact on the bulk material connected to loading has remained neglected. This is unfortunate because the review was necessary. As a result, the effects of nanoparticles were investigated in this work, constructed on definite filler categories. It also demonstrated the optimal filler quantity for the improvement of mechanical parameters (such as strength and stiffness) and fracture toughness from both an interlaminar and an intralaminar point of view. In addition, the impacts of soft fillers, hard fillers, and hybrid additives were analysed and grouped to demonstrate how certain fillers might have amazing effects on the enhancement of particular properties. In addition, the most effective application of nanomaterials in relation to loading conditions was articulated in order to provide structural engineering engineers and scientists with a prompt suggestion. This research provides some insight into how nano-filler and nano-particles affect the beginning of damage in fiber-reinforced plastic composites as well as their behaviour.

INTRODUCTION

Recently, nanocomposites have gained prominence in composite structures for a wide range of applications, including automobiles, planes, windmills, spacecraft, recreational products, and marine vessels, among many more, and are an excellent substitute for traditional materials [1]. Composite structures demand more design attention than standard materials, but their advantages outweigh their disadvantages. As technology and innovative concepts have improved, so too have structural material failures. The performance of nanocomposites is determined by the orientation of the fibres. Shear loads are carried between fibres or plies by matrix components, which also protect the fibres or plies. Despite their weak mechanical properties in out-of-plane directions, fibres provide significant reinforcing in the fibres' and fabric's in-plane directions [2]. Matrix materials include polymers, ceramics, and metals. Because they're extremely brittle and prone to breaking. There are flaws in both homogeneous and heterogeneous materials. Manufacturing errors, impurities, dislocations, vacancies/voids, and impurities are the primary causes of traditional materials' failure. In the same way, defects in the manufacturing process, such as voids,

Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Advances in Manufacturing Technology
AIP Conf. Proc. 2937, 020038-1–020038-6; https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0218221
Published under an exclusive license by AIP Publishing. 978-0-7354-4995-4/$30.00

bubbles in the matrix, and insufficient fiber-matrix bonding, as well as the surrounding environment and the curing process, can have an impact on the performance of composite materials. Fiber-reinforced composite laminates are the most popular, and they don't use nanoparticles. Materials such as bulk and nanoparticles play a role in the enhancements. Stiffening the matrix in both the parallel and perpendicular axes improves structural strength. Out-of-plane qualities can be improved by braiding, sewing, or z-pinning. The plane's characteristics are influenced by these techniques.

Metal, ceramic, and polymer nanocomposites are all examples of nanocomposites [3]. Synergy between components and unique features are achieved with the addition of a nanoscale second phase. Nanomaterials can be made from a variety of materials, including metals, metal oxides, and titanium dioxide. These nanomaterials are not metallic. Metallic nanoparticles include iron, tungsten, cobalt, copper, platinum, and nickel [4]. CNT, graphene, and nanoclay are all commonly employed to enhance the bulk composite material's physical qualities. The physical, chemical, and mechanical properties of composites are altered by the addition of nanoscale elements. Nanomaterials have been employed to develop a biocompatible Fe_3O_4 modification and a radiation-resistant tungsten-copper composite. Fiber-reinforced plastic also incorporates carbon nanotubes, carbon black, graphene, nanoclays, and rubber, for structural purposes. For structural applications, the primary goal of this review is to incorporate information about the influence of nanoparticles on bulk material mechanical characteristics and fracture behaviour.

In order for composite materials to benefit from nanoparticles, the optimal loading, kinds, and forms need to be established. Structure and semi-structure fibre reinforced composite materials are discussed, with an emphasis on the appropriate loading of common nanoparticles. Composites are one type of these materials. Consequently, the primary focus of this review is on the impact of nanoparticles, the augmentation of fracture hardiness, and the effect of nanomaterials on the damage initiation and performance of nano-composite materials. This brief provides an overview of the factors that influence the performance of nanofillers in composite materials. The mass percentage, amount percentage, and measurements of nanosized materials that stick together, as well as their structure and morphology, type, interfacial bonding, and compatibility, are all important things to think about.

NANOPARTICLE SELECTION

Nanomaterials research has developed significantly since Uyeda first created metal nanoparticles using gas evaporation condensation in the 1960s. Progress in science has been rapid. New ways to use nanoparticles are an important aspect of nanotechnology studies. The mechanical properties of nanomaterials are quite variable. Compared with natural nanomaterials and nanoceramics, they are not tough. It is a reference to the nano-enhancement phase of nanocomposites that these materials are designed to represent. An example of a nanoceramic composite will be provided to demonstrate the concept.

Choosing the Right Nanoparticle

The hardness and toughness of fractures are reduced. The content of nano-Al_2O_3 went up and then down. At 4% volume, nano-fracture Al_2O_3 reaches its maximal toughness and hardness. The mechanical characteristics of nanocomposites may be reduced by adding additional nanoparticles to the matrix [5]. Smaller grains have a higher density than larger ones. The mechanical properties of nanomaterials aren't improved by the addition of nanoparticles. Adding nano-TiN to cermet increases its mechanical characteristics such as resistance to cracking, bending, and brittleness [6].

Mechanics of Production

The effect of the manufacturing process on mechanical component processing parameters determines the nanomaterial's properties. Temperature, treatment, and method are all factors to consider. The mechanical properties of nanomaterials are affected by time, nanoparticle dosage, and ratio. Temperature has an effect on the mechanical properties of sintered materials. As the sintering temperature rises, so do the materials' density, bending strength, and fracture toughness.The mechanics of the matrix are completely unique. Tin nanocomposites with increased tensile, flexible, hard, and wear-resistant properties.Cement slurry containing graphene oxide was found to improve the mechanical characteristics of composites [7]. Graphene oxide has compressive, tensile, and flexural strength at a weight-to-percentage ratio of only 0.03%.

The Kinematic and Mechanistic Features

The maximum tensile strength and load capacity were found in a polyvinyl alcohol/chitosan/nano-ZnO hybrid material with a 2.3 grain size. The term "nanograins" refers to nanoparticles of a given material. X-FEM and Voronoi models were used by Wang et al. [8] to examine the effect of grain size on ceramic fracture propagation. An analysis of a ceramic tool's microstructure was performed using the Voronoi mosaic method by Zhou and colleagues [9]. Fracture morphology and stress resistance were examined as a function of grain size in this research. The porosity of nano-alumina can be increased by adding nano-NbC particles to the material.

Grain Boundaries

Because of their small size, nanomaterials have a stronger effect on grain boundaries than do traditional grain boundaries. To increase the mechanical properties of composites, the filler and matrix must be well-integrated. In particular, for composites, the mechanical properties are influenced by grain boundaries. Structural elements like chemical bonding and density are important considerations. The mechanical properties of nanomaterials are influenced by the grain boundaries. As a result, there are a variety of grain variations. Surface structure has the potential to have an impact on nanomaterial qualities only in an indirect manner [10]. Nanoparticles can be used to enhance the mechanical properties of matrices. Because of their small size, nanoparticles are used to fill matrix pores. Because it is denser, it transmits stress more effectively and is more flexible. Stress transmission is reduced and cracking is prevented, boosting the material's durability. Sintering enamel-steel systems at high temperatures can increase the diffusion of iron in steel, according to Zucchelli et al. [11]. Diffusion of Fe^{3+} enhances the structure of the enamel-steel connection. Making use of both processes helps to further refine and develop mechanical qualities.

NANOPARTICLE INTERACTIONS AND FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES

Changing our size brings with it a new set of obstacles. The interactions between nanoparticles and surfaces can take numerous forms.

Van der Waals Force

This force governs all interactions between atoms, molecules, and other small objects. The physics of particles is affected by these interactions. This force is made up of three distinct components. Polar molecules' persistent dipole moments are responsible for the orientation force, or Keesom force [12]. When the polar molecule's permanent dipole moment and the induced dipole moment interact, the induction force (also known as the Debye force) is generated. Dispersion force, or London force, is the result of instantaneous dipole polarisation [13]. All molecules, whether polar or nonpolar, have this force at work in their atoms. Compared to chemical bonds, Van der Waals force interactions are less energetic. A wide range of distances can be covered by Van der Waals force forces, which are long-range forces.

Electrostatic and Electrodynamic Forces

Electrostatic forces repel particles from aggregating when they are suspended in water or another liquid with a high dielectric constant. If a liquid surface is charged, there are three ways: (1) ionisation or dissociation of surface groups; (2) adsorption or binding of ions from the surrounding solution onto an uncharged surface; and (3) the potential that charges can leap from one surface to another when two are close together. In the EDL, surface charges are neutralised by a solution layer charged in the opposite direction. The EDL was proposed by Helmholtz. A simple molecular capacitor model was used [14]. Since the ions in the solution are constantly moving due to thermal motion, this leads to a "diffuse" double layer. Chaos is generated by the thermal motion of ions. This makes it more difficult to investigate the near-surface electrical environment [15] and calls for more precision. Both Chapman and Gouy developed models of a "diffuse double layer" in which the change in counter ion concentration near a charged surface reflects the dispersion of Boltzmann ions. In comparison to Helmholtz, Gouy–theory Chapman's comes closer to the real system, but it has less quantitative applicability. Onions are thought to be point charges that have no physical limit on how close they can come into contact with a material. Additionally, Stern suggested that some ions appear to be adsorbing onto the surface of a plane. Electrostatic forces can't be overcome by this layer's heat

dispersion. In the outer layer, ions are only slightly affected by surface electrostatic tensions, allowing them to remain mobile.

Concept of Capillary Force

Capillary force is caused by meniscal force, also known as liquid menisci. The relevance of this phenomenon was recognised by Haines and Fisher. There are two kinds of capillary forces: normal and lateral. Nanoparticle assembly and cell self-assembly are examples of this phenomenon. Water vapour bridges or liquid condensation generate laplace pressure within the bent meniscus, which is what generates the capillary force. The shape of the capillary bridge influences whether or not it attracts or repels. You need to know the Kelvin and Young–Laplace equations to understand capillary forces. Capillary condensation is described by the Young–Laplace and Kelvin equations [16]. We use the Young–Laplace equation for capillary condensation, which connects interface curvature to pressure differential. Capillary condensation can condense vapour into capillaries even when the ambient vapour pressure is below saturation. The vapour pressure-liquid surface curvature relationship is described by the Kelvin equation. Pressure differences across the curved contact and surface tension forces around the meniscus annulus affect the normal capillary force.

Solvation, Structural, and Hydration Forces

The mechanical properties of composites are affected by nanoparticles. There has been a rise in interest in nanocomposites' mechanical characteristics. Nanocomposites that can be used to develop new, multifunctional materials need to be improved. The mechanical and physical properties of these nanocomposites have been enhanced. Nanocomposites are a work in progress that will require further attention. There are many factors that influence cement composite qualities, including the type of nanoparticles, the size distribution, the aggregation state or dispersion of the nanoparticles, and the chemical deposition of these nanoparticles. Nanomaterial agglomeration is a result of a lack of uniform dispersion, which can lead to inhomogeneity, uncured resin zones, and higher mixing energy. Weakened by early cure caused by ultrasonication, the composite may be fragile [17]. When compared to more traditional materials, nanoparticles have a lower defect rate and can repair damaged fibres and other large materials.

THE CONSEQUENCES OF LOADING NANO-CLAY

One of the most widely used and least expensive nanomaterials is nanoclay, a silicate. Composite materials' physicochemical and mechanical properties have been improved by nanoclay. Compared with traditional composites that are filled with resin. The weathering of fine-grained igneous rocks results in the formation of clay. Because of Toyota's utilisation of clay–polymer nanocomposites (CPNC) in the automotive industry [18], this field of nanotechnology has gained prominence. Improved mechanical, thermal, and barrier properties are achieved by using nanoclay fillers. Nanocomposites made of epoxy and clay can be used in a variety of industries, including aerospace, defence, and automotive. Adhesives, sealants, machining, moulding, casting, semiconductors, and construction have all used composites. In polymer–clay nanocomposites, the mechanical properties are influenced by the microstructure of the polymer matrix. It is possible to improve the mechanical properties of these materials by exfoliation.

Loadings, Particle Sizes, and Adhesion of Nanomaterials

It is possible to improve the mechanical properties of epoxy matrixes such as Young's modulus, ultimate tensile strength, and ductility by using carbon nanotubes, graphene, and silica. A few examples: EPOXY's mechanical properties were significantly influenced by the type of CNT used (SWCNT, DWCNT, and MWCNT) [19]. For additions of 0.04 to 5% CNT, the Young's modulus increased by 80 to 130 percent, while for additions of 0.03 to 4.5 percent graphene, the rise was 94% to 148%. In general, silica additions should fall within the range of 0.05 to 25% of total weight. Nanoparticle clusters were investigated in detail to determine how loadings of graphene from 0.03 to 3 weight percent and CNTs from 0.045 to 0.7 weight percent increased ultimate tensile strength in the range of 72,5–145%. Silica increased ultimate tensile strength by 60 to 132 percentage points in the range of 1 to 25% weight percent loadings.

The Effect that Nanoparticles have on the Fracture Toughness of Composite Materials

Because of the epoxy matrix's inherent fragility, out-of-plane failure in composite materials is a major cause for concern. Multilayer composites' out-of-plane properties have been improved by stitching. Many approaches to improving composite fracture toughness without compromising in-plane mechanical properties have been investigated by researchers. The right loading, shape, and size of nanomaterials are necessary to resist in-plane degradation. Loads of nanomaterials Nanomaterial loadings increased the composite's fracture toughness. An investigation of syntactic foams was conducted by Maharsia et al. According to their findings, a 5% nanoclay solution increased in toughness by 58%.Using epoxy resin, Kim and colleagues [20] investigated the effects of modified bisphenol. Graphene, fullerene, carbon nanotubes (CNTs), and carbon black (carbon black) and other nonmaterial silicates (nanoclays, $CaCO_3$) were also studied (rubber, nitrile, nano-cellulose). The fracture toughness of rubber particles can be increased.

The Effect of Nanoparticles on the Deterioration of Composite Materials and the Beginnings of Their Deterioration

Conclusions about nanomaterials' effects noted a decline in bulk material concentration/cluster. When inclusions exceed the recommended volume, weight, or percentages, the desired qualities are lost. Reductions in material properties are induced by agglomeration or a high concentration of damage-initiating nanoparticles. Both sources cause the decreases. Nanomaterial clusters can cause damage regardless of loading. Agglomerated components in the dry zone can't transfer shear force from polymer to fibre and vice versa. Agglomeration damages inclusion-produced composites. Distributive and dispersed nanomaterial configurations improve bulk material characteristics. High quantities of nanomaterials may reduce mechanical strength. Due to inadequate matrix and matrix-fiber shearing force transfer, concentrations diminish strength. Nanomaterials presumably have a high surface-to-volume ratio due to their small size and fewer surface defects. Because of this, nanomaterials can reflect the stress wave or damage evolution. The other phases cause this wave. Fillers affected filler damage by reflecting or bridging [21]. Modified clay fillers can boost the adhesion strengths of polymer composites, reducing the likelihood of failure due to good stress transmission between phases. Because of the nanofiller's form, clay-modified laminates behave like base laminates.

CONCLUSION

To meet ever-increasing demands on mechanical systems' surface and interface properties, new designs, surface modification, and manufacturing innovations are needed. There have been recent developments that point to nanoparticles as a potential answer. The preceding sections give a general review of nanoparticle physics, mechanical properties, interfacial interactions, and applications. Surface engineering, micro/nanomanufacturing, and nanofabrication all benefit from foundational research data on nanoparticle mechanical characteristics. Nanoparticle-based applications have advanced significantly and demonstrated considerable advantages. Despite these findings, more research is needed to understand the mechanical properties of nanoparticles. Improved methods for characterization and fabricating nanoparticles may be of assistance. The size and composition of nanoparticles must be taken into account when describing their mechanical properties. Nanoparticles' involvement in a variety of applications must also be considered. It would be helpful to be able to see how nanoparticles interact with surfaces at the microscale, nanoscale, and atomic-scale levels.

REFERENCES

1. G. Wimmer, C. Schuecker, H.E. Pettermann Compos Part B-Eng., 40, 158–165(2009).
2. Y. Zeng, H.Y. Liu, Y.W. Mai, et al. Compos Part B-Eng 43: 90–94 (2012).
3. Achaby ME, Ennajih H, Arrakhiz FZ, et al. Compos Part B-Eng., 51, 310–317 (2013).
4. C.S.C. Santos, B. Gabriel, M. Blanchy et al. Mater Today Proc., 2, 456–465 (2015).
5. X. Li, B. Fang, X.G. Xu, C.H. Xu, Adv. Mater. Rese., 335-336, 736-739 (2011).
6. F. Xie, H.D. Yang, C.G. Zhang, N. Liu, Mater. Sci. Form, 471-472, 711-715 (2004).
7. M.M. Mokhtar, S.A. Abo-El-Enein, M.Y. Hassaan, M.S. Morsy, M.H. Khalil, Constr. Build. Mater., 138, 333-339 (2017).
8. D. Wang, J. Zhao, Y.H.. Zhou, X.X. Chen, A.H. Li, Z.C. Gong, Comput. Mater. Sci., 77, 236-244

(2013).
9. T. Zhou, C.Z. Huang, Comput. Mater. Sci., 104, 177-184 (2015).
10. B.C. Zhang, G.J. Bi, P. Wang, J.M. Bai, Y.X. Chen, M.S. Nai, Mater. Des., 111, 70-79 (2016).
11. A. Zucchelli, M. Dignatici, M. Montorsi, R. Carlotti, C. Siligardi, J. Eur. Ceram. Soc., 32, 2243-2251(2012).
12. W.H. Keesom, KNAW Proc. 15, 240–56(1912).
13. F. London, Trans. Faraday Soc. 33 8b-26(1937).
14. H. Helmholtz, Ann. Phys. 165 211–33(1853).
15. D. Myers, Surfaces, Interfaces, and Colloids (New York: Wiley-Vch), 1999.
16. P.A. Kralchevsky and K.Nagayama, Adv. Colloid Interface Sci. 85 145–92 (2000).
17. H.C. Hamaker, Physica 4 1058–72(1937).
18. N. Domun, H. Hadavinia, T. Zhang et al. Nanoscale. 7, 10294-10329(2015).
19. R. El-Sheikhy, M. Al-Shamrani, Adv Powder Technol., 28, 983–992(2017).
20. B.C. Kim, S.W. Park, D.G. Lee, Compos Struct., 86, 69–77(2008).
21. Y.Liu, J. Zhou, T. Shen, Mater Design 45, 67–71(2013).